

To: Vit, Wendy[wendy.vit@dnr.mo.gov]
From: Hawkins, Andy
Sent: Fri 8/7/2015 5:37:42 PM
Subject: RE: questions on submittal

Next week is fine.

Andy Hawkins

EPA Region 7

11201 Renner Boulevard

Lenexa, Kansas 66219

(913) 551-7179 office

hawkins.andy@epa.gov


From: Vit, Wendy [mailto:wendy.vit@dnr.mo.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 07, 2015 12:37 PM
To: Hawkins, Andy
Subject: RE: questions on submittal

Hey Andy, Ashley's off this afternoon. If there's anything urgent you need today, I can get in touch with her by cell phone. (I probably won't be able to answer your modeling-specific questions.) If it can wait, she'll be available next week.

From: Hawkins, Andy [mailto:hawkins.andy@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 07, 2015 10:24 AM
To: Vit, Wendy; Wilbur, Emily
Cc: Keas, Ashley
Subject: RE: questions on submittal

Can you please verify the Sikeston interactive source locations in the AERMOD input file you

sent... the locations of the interactive sources look questionable when I import them using the supplied input file for this CD source.



Andy Hawkins

EPA Region 7

11201 Renner Boulevard

Lenexa, Kansas 66219

(913) 551-7179 office

hawkins.andy@epa.gov

From: Vit, Wendy [<mailto:wendy.vit@dnr.mo.gov>]

Sent: Friday, August 07, 2015 7:57 AM

To: Hawkins, Andy; Wilbur, Emily

Subject: RE: questions on submittal

Hi Andy. We'll look into your questions and get back to you as soon as we can. It may not be until next week or so.

Have a great weekend!

Wendy Vit
Air Quality Planning Section Chief
Air Pollution Control Program
Missouri Department of Natural Resources
(573) 526-3167
wendy.vit@dnr.mo.gov

Promoting, Protecting and Enjoying our Natural Resources. Learn more at dnr.mo.gov.

From: Hawkins, Andy [<mailto:hawkins.andy@epa.gov>]

Sent: Friday, August 07, 2015 7:28 AM

To: Wilbur, Emily

Cc: Vit, Wendy

Subject: questions on submittal

Emily or Wendy,

I have a couple questions on the historic monitoring portion of the SO2 boundary recommendations for Labadie. First, were the monitors sited at the Augusta locations specifically for Labadie impacts or were there other sources of SO2 in that monitoring area at that time that are no longer there? Second question assumes Labadie was the only source of interest and was the source impacting the monitors. So second question, was any additional analysis done on what Labadie stack(s) were impacting these monitors at that time? I ask the second question because I'm curious if MDNR believes one of the shorter stacks (so maybe an emergency generator) could have caused the higher readings, or do you believe the impacts seen at the monitors are all from the emissions occurring at the tall stacks (i.e. Units 1-4)?

Thanks,
Andy

Andy Hawkins

EPA Region 7

11201 Renner Boulevard

Lenexa, Kansas 66219

(913) 551-7179 office

hawkins.andy@epa.gov